

International Scientific Tribunal



Joseph J Smulsky*

Institute of the Earth's Cryosphere, Tyumen Scientific Center SB RAS, Federal Research Center, Tyumen, Russian Federation

Submission: November 29, 2023; **Published:** December 06, 2023

***Corresponding author:** Joseph J Smulsky, Institute of the Earth's Cryosphere, Tyumen Scientific Center SB RAS, Federal Research Center, Tyumen, Russian Federation. Email id: jsmulsky@mail.ru

Abstract

It is considered the main ideas of the book "Destruction of the myth: Accepted understanding or scientific truth?" The book shows the resistance of Mainstream physicists to the search for new fundamental knowledge. They have imposed their approach and their methods on almost all other sciences. These methods are since hypotheses are accepted, an explanation of the surrounding world is built on their basis, and then this explanation is taken for the real-life surrounding world. Thus, such concepts, objects and phenomena as the Big Bang, Black holes, dark energy and similar "inventions" of Mainstream science were created. In accordance with the properties of the imaginary world, the life of human society is built, which leads to the deterioration of the state of Humanity. Therefore, it is necessary to create an International Scientific Tribunal, which will establish the causes of the emergence of Mainstream Science, which is dangerous for Humanity, and develop rules that will prevent its occurrence in the future.

Keywords: Hypotheses; Big Bang; Black Holes; Dark Energy; Fake Science; Demystification of Science; Science of the Future

Introduction

On July 20, 2023, I received a letter from Italian-American journalist Fabrizio Ciancio. He sent it on behalf of the Center for Scientific Information and offered to express his opinion on the review of the book by Jeremy Dunning-Davies [1]. I translated the title of this book into Russian, which would sound more understandable in English: "Destruction of the myth: Accepted understanding or scientific truth?" The review says that in the first chapter, Dr. Jeremy Dunning-Davies denounces the organized opposition by some mainstream physicists against the pursuit of new fundamental knowledge. The following are well-known philosophers and scientists who have denounced the positions of Mainstream scientists. Karl Popper, John Horgan, Barton Richter, Paul Dirac, and others are mentioned among them. The Nobel Nominated Ruggero Santilli has shown that the lack of resolutions of our increasingly alarming environmental problems is primarily due to the organized opposition by mainstream physics and chemistry, under the subservient news media, against the pursuit of the needed fundamental new knowledge. In other chapters, Jeremy Dunning-Davies highlights the serious consequences for society from the obscurantism of Mainstream science, including in the Theory of Relativity and in Quantum mechanics. These shortcomings led to the creation of such concepts, objects and phenomena as the Big Bang, Black holes, dark energy and similar "inventions" of Mainstream science. The book [1] also shows the

role of the subservient news media in justifying the dominance of Mainstream science. Therefore, the subject of Fabrizio Ciancio's letter was called: Mainstream physics, chemistry and news media responsibility for environmental problems.

Opposition of Mainstream to the search for fundamental knowledge

I agree with the opinions presented that Mainstream physicists have organized opposition to the search for fundamental knowledge. For more than 100 years there has been a false Theory of Relativity. To preserve it, its supporters prevent the publication and distribution of works that prove its fallacy and establish the real properties of the world around us. In addition, the supporters of the Theory of Relativity have imposed their approach and their methods on almost all other sciences. This essence lies in the fact that hypotheses are accepted, an explanation of the surrounding world is built on their basis, and then this explanation, i.e., this theory is taken for the real world around. Therefore, almost all modern fundamental science represents for humanity an imaginary world that is completely different from the real world! Since, in accordance with the properties of the imaginary world, the life of human society begins to be built, this life is directed along false paths that lead to the deterioration of the state of Humanity. Examples are the carbon dioxide theory of modern warming; the US wars in the late 20th and early 21st centuries

to impose American “democracy”; the Covid-19 epidemic, and the third world war launched in Ukraine for US world domination.

Modern fundamental science is to blame for all this! In confirmation, I will cite the opinion of Chinese scientists [2] about the detrimental effect of it and the Theory of Relativity on science, philosophy and society. The paper [2] I translated into Russian accompanied by comments <http://www.ikz.ru/~smulski/Papers/EssenceSR2r.pdf>. In English, these comments are located here: <http://www.ikz.ru/~smulski/Papers/EssenceSR2.pdf>. Therefore, I agree with the subject of the letter “Mainstream physics, chemistry and newsmedia responsibility for environmental problems”.

Contemporary Fundamental Science is Defective and False

Contemporary fundamental science is defective and false. Why is it defective and false? Because it has created an unrealistic picture of the micro- and macroworld, it does not pave the way for the further development of society and does not impede negative trends in it. Such a science is not needed by society. Society is aware of this. People refer to the discoveries of sciences as circus tricks, and in searching for a solution to the problems facing it society directs its eyes to journalists and politicians [3]. This phrase from my book [3] was voiced by the outstanding thinker Borislav Vankov from Sofia, Bulgaria, in Russian <https://youtu.be/60oQqIPla3Q>, English <https://youtu.be/ypMHq1LN6EU>, German <https://youtu.be/R1lQh1a15NM> and Bulgarian https://youtu.be/k215_StxuFA. All the “inventions” of modern fundamental science: four-dimensional space-time, the curvilinear world, the expanding Universe, the Big Bang, dark energy, Black holes, gravitational waves, neutrinos, bosons, quarks, etc. are fantasies that do not exist in the world. This is real mysticism.

Demystification of Science

In the USA, the YouTube channel “Demystify Science” has been created https://www.youtube.com/@DemystifySci_Podcast. It is visited by thousands of researchers. As the news media does not talk about it, a few people know about this. Russia is conducting a Special Military Operation for denazification of Ukraine. Everyone knows about it. And everyone knows that the USA leadership is Russia’s main opponent in this operation. The ideology of Nazism is based on various mystical images and ideas. Therefore, Nazism and mysticism are inextricably linked with each other. It is quite remarkable that the citizens of the USA have created the channel “Demystification of Science”, as if in opposition to the policy of the USA leadership. This example shows that sane people of the Earth can and should prevent the hostile actions of the Mainstream, both in science and in public life. I note that the mainstream in public life is globalism. Supporters of the Theory of Relativity, i.e., relativists make up a small fraction of all scientists: they are less than 0.01%. But they have established their dominion over the world! The relativists have taken over all the establishment journals and

Publishing houses. According to publications in them, ratings of scientists are established. Only conventional scientific papers are published in rating journals and Publishing houses, i.e., those that do not contradict the ideas of the Mainstream. According to the rating of scientists, funding for their work is allocated, prizes and awards are awarded to them, and the prestige of Mainstream scientists is created. This whole system ensures the dominance of the Mainstream.

Mainstream scientists say to their national governments: We are big scientists, and your governments need to build LIGO observatories to search for gravitational waves, create neutrino telescopes and other large-scale projects that are false and have no real basis. Almost everyone who begins to delve into relativistic works concludes that they are absurd. Therefore, most researchers, 99.99%, are anti-relativists. Many of them have written and continue to write articles and books. There are a lot of these researchers: there are tens of thousands of them. In terms of criticism of the Theory of Relativity, many of their opinions coincide. However, there are very few similar opinions regarding the further development of science. Many anti relativists offer their ideas based on relativistic ideology. That is, they put forward new hypotheses, based on which they build their imaginary world. Of the above-mentioned scientists, I include Ruggero Santilli among them.

Science of the future

Nevertheless, there are enough studies to establish the real properties of the surrounding world, which now allow the Theory of Relativity to be thrown out and forgotten! I should mention the book by Sjaak Uitterdijk [4], one of the latest works known to me, in which he shows the fallacy of modern models of the atom and nucleus, as well as several constructions in the macroworld. Sjaak Uitterdijk concludes that models of the nucleus without exotic particles and magical forces can be considered. He believes that “all modern physical models and phenomena that are based to one degree or another on the Theory of Relativity have to be rejected”. I will mention a few of my recent works.

In book [3], I presented a program for the further development of science without the Theory of Relativity. Here is too my idea of the direction in which Mankind needs to develop. I will quote Borislav Vankov’s words about this book: “Given the spectrum of the issues addressed and the method of their coverage, the book by the outstanding scientist Joseph Smulsky “The Upcoming Tasks of Fundamental Science” is a unique work. The author begins with a deeply thought-out presentation of the basic principles of mechanics and a non-hypothetical way of acquiring knowledge about the world. The following chapters show the real nature and form of gravitational and electromagnetic interactions and convincingly prove the inconsistency of several provisions of contemporary physical theories, in particular the Theory of Relativity. At the same time, unresolved problems that need

further research are highlighted. The next chapter is devoted to a new vision of climate oscillations which, according to the author, are based on interactions in the Solar system and the resulting changes in solar heat on the Earth. The book ends with chapters on the rational structure and management of society and on the ways of the development of science, containing original and very valuable ideas and theses. I strongly recommend that anyone who wants to find out how the physical world is really organized and how humanity and science should develop get acquainted with this exceptional book" [3]. Information [5] reports on my lecture "Old and New Foundations of Fundamental Science" on January 8, 2023, in Haifa, Israel. This lecture was made in Russian and is available here <https://youtu.be/Vvkq4nW0sHg>.

This report, with minor changes and with the title "Actual Problems of Physics", was also made in Israel on May 15, 2023: <https://youtu.be/gA4JaMePiOU>. The report ends with a Conclusion, which states that the foundations of modern physics are hypotheses. An imaginary picture of the world is built on them. Gradually this approach moved to other sciences. The entire set of hypotheses rests on existing facts and phenomena. As soon as a new fact appears, the entire structure built on hypotheses falls apart. In physics, this has been happening continuously for 100 years. If this construction, for example in microbiology, concerns the entire society, then the emergence of a new fact like Covid-19 leads to the fact that society is unprotected by such presumptive science.

Humanity faces many problems. Many of them grow out of seemingly harmless trends. And there is no one who could tell society: these trends are dangerous. Only science, honest science, based on reliably established knowledge, can become such an authority and protect society. Therefore, Humanity is faced with the task of creating such a science. As for physics, Newton's experimental law of gravitation determines all gravitational interactions in the macrocosm, and the experimental laws of Coulomb, Bio-Savart-Laplace and Faraday determine all interactions in the microcosm. This is the foundation of interactions in the world. All hypothetical constructions and the chains of consequences associated with them must be removed from science. Physics purified in this way will become a reliable basis for its further development, as well as the basis of other sciences. These two reports are in Russian, but in YouTube one can set up subtitles in English. To do this, click on the subtitle icon (the fifth icon at the bottom (from left to right)). Then click the settings icon (sixth icon) select subtitles in the submenu, translation and the language you want to translate into. In information [6] I discuss the Theory of Relativity with its adherent.

In the article [7], in a thesis form, I, as one of the representatives of academic science, present my understanding of the problems of science and society. This understanding coincides with the opinion of many of my colleagues. It contrasts with the understanding of

mainstream scientists. However, it exists in academic science, and will eventually become predominant. The article [8] shows that dark matter and gravitational waves are caused by an incorrect description of interactions in the Theory of Relativity. That is, dark matter and gravitational waves are mystical objects. I will give a fragment from the Introduction from this article. When studying the light of distant astronomical objects, it was found that with an increase in the distance to them, its frequency decreases, i.e., the light turns more red. Such reddening of light, as well as a decrease in the frequency of sound, occurs with the distance of their sources from the observer. This phenomenon is called the Doppler Effect. It was hypothesized that the reddening of the light of distant stars and other objects is due to the Doppler Effect. Therefore, these objects are moving away from us.

However, it turned out that this reddening of light occurs in all directions relative to the Earth. The second hypothesis was accepted that all stars are moving away from us, i.e., the whole Universe is expanding. The expansion takes place in the future, and in the past, all objects must come closer, and the Universe will turn into a point. Therefore, it is accepted the third hypothesis about the Big Bang, which resulted in the birth of the Universe. However, it turned out that the further away an astronomical object is, the more reddening of its light, and, consequently, the greater the removal velocity. However, an increase in velocity is not possible. Since, in accordance with Newton's law of universal gravitation, bodies are attracted to each other, then after the explosion, the velocity of their removal will decrease, and not increase. Here, it would seem, it is necessary to abandon all these hypotheses. However, a fourth hypothesis about dark energy is accepted. Thanks to dark energy, bodies after the Big Bang accelerate, but not slow down. For example, a well-known expert on models of the early Universe offers the following explanation in his popular lectures. Nobody knows yet what dark energy is, what its properties are. But it certainly exists. Indeed, without it there would be no expanding Universe and there would be no Big Bang. This example shows what kind of understanding of the surrounding world appears because of the method of cognition using hypotheses. This method gives us an imaginary world, not a real one.

In the article [9] it is shown that for the same reason neutrinos and many particles of the Mainstream science microworld are mystical objects. After the Theory of Relativity will be thrown out and forgotten, Mankind faces the task of analyzing the development of science: how such obscurantism as the Theory of Relativity could have been created in science. This is a dangerous phenomenon for Humanity. It must not be repeated in the future. Therefore, it is necessary to create an International Scientific Tribunal, which will impartially study the history of the creation and existence of the Theory of Relativity, establish the reasons for its appearance and develop rules that will prevent the occurrence of such a phenomenon in the future.

References

1. Dunning-Davies J (2007) Exploding a Myth: Conventional Wisdom or Scientific Truth? Elsevier Scie pp. 256.
2. Zifeng Li, Tianjiang Li, Changjin Wang, Xinmin Tian, Zhaoyun Wang (2007) The Essence of Special Relativity and Its Influence on Science, Philosophy and Society // Proceedings of the Natural Philosophy Alliance. 13th Annual Conference 3-7 April 2006 at the University of Tulsa, OK, USA 3(1): 126-130.
3. Smulsky JJ (2019a) The Upcoming tasks of Fundamental Science. M.: Sputnik+ Publishing House. 134: 978-5-9973-5228-8. (In Russian).
4. Uitterdijk S (2021) Physics since Einstein. Publisher: Brugzicht, Netherlands pp. 155.
5. Smulsky JJ (2023a) On the former and new bases of fundamental science. Tyumen, Institute of Earth's Cryosphere p. 2.
6. Smulsky JJ (2022) Discussion on modern problems of science. Tyumen, Institute of Earth's Cryosphere p. 6.
7. Smulsky JJ (2019b) New Understanding in Academic Science. Natural Sci 11(3): 74-94.
8. Smulsky JJ (2021) Dark Matter and Gravitational Waves. Natural Sci 13: 76-87.
9. Smulsky JJ (2023b) Neutrino is the Brightest Particle of the Fictitious Micro-world. European Journal of Applied Sciences, 11(3): 616-633.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI:10.19080/ARR.2023.10.555786

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>